

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
UNITED STATE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,578	10/13/2004	Ljubomir Misev	CO/2-22659/A/PCT	4524
324 Ciba Corporat	7590 03/17/201	EXAMINER		
Patent Department			DAHIMENE, MAHMOUD	
540 White Pla P.O. Box 2005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Tarrytown, N	Y 10591	1792		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2010	FI ECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

andrea.dececchis@basf.com deborah.pinori@basf.com sonny.nkansa@basf.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/511,578 MISEV ET AL. Examiner Art Unit MAHMOUD DAHIMENE 1792 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -Reply

		MAHMOUD DAHIMENE	1792				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	ldress			
Period fo	or Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALING D/ missions of time may be available under the processors of 37 CFR 1.7 from 11 from 12 from 12 from 13 from 13 from 13 from 14 f	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 November 2009.						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit						
	closed in accordance with the practice under $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 45-67 is/are pending in the application	٦.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) 47, 58, 61-67 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 45-46, 48-57, 59-60 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	9 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).			
11) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P7	ГО-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a)L	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received					
	Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	•	50 III III 0 I I III II I	otago			
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
Attachmen	t/e)						
	u(a)	<u>_</u>					

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Potent Application 6) Other:	
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/511,578 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of the species of photolatent compound (A) described by applicant's formula (I), filed on 11/20/2009, is acknowledged.

Claim 47 and all dependent claims 61-67, 58 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Claim 47 requires the photolatent compound to be selected from the group consisting of formula V, VI, VII, VIII, which are not elected species.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

Application/Control Number: 10/511,578

Art Unit: 1792

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

 Claim 45-46, 49-50, 52-57, 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Epaillard et al. (Makromolekulare Chemie, 189(5) (1988), pp. 1035-1042).

Epaillard discloses "the reactive species (radicals, ions, etc.) or the UV-visible radiation emitted by the excited species initiate the cold plasma-induced polymerization of a monomer in the liquid or solid state. The residual C:C double bond concentration of an acrylic monomer depends on the plasma characteristics (gas nature, pressure, discharge power, etc.), and also on the polymerization conditions. The UV-visible radiation emitted in a cold plasma has more influence on the thickness of the film than the reactive species bombardment" (abstract). Epaillard uses a catalyst for plasma polymerization described, in the chemical abstract, as

Which reads on aaplicant's formula (I).

Application/Control Number: 10/511,578 Page 4

Art Unit: 1792

It is noted that Epaillard does not expressly disclose the polymerisable compound is a free radical it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to understand that free radicals play an important role in polymerization, and thus, expect the monomers of Epaillard to be free radical polymerizable.

It is also noted that Epaillard is silent about a plasma discharge chamber, however, Epaillard discloses a helium plasma at 0.56 Torr of pressure. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to understand that sub-atmospheric plasmas are created in confined chambers in order to reach sub-atmospheric pressures through vacuum pumping.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

 Claims 48, 51, 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Epaillard et al. (Makromolekulare Chemie, 189(5) (1988), pp. 1035-1042) as applied to claim 45 above in view of Kunz et al. (US 2003/0129322).

It is noted that Epaillard is silent about ink, sensitizer compound or pigments in the composition.

Kunz teaches the same kinds of compouds (according the chemical abstract)

RN 7473-98-5 HCAPLUS
CN 1-Propanone, 2-hydroxy-2-methyl-1-phenyl- (CA INDEX NAME)

Art Unit: 1792

as the ones used by Epaillard's compounds are used in inks comprising sensitisers (paragraph 0124) and pigments (paragraph 0137).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the process of Epaillard to include sensitizer compound or pigments in the composition when the coating needs to a color or shade because Kunz teaches the same kinds of compounds are conventionally used for curable ink.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to add sensitizers and pigments when the coating of Epaillard needs to have a specific color or shade.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments, filed 7/31/2009, with respect to the fact that the new amended claims are not rejected by the previous art of record as set fourth by the rejection issued on 5/1/2009 have been fully considered and are persuasive in view of the fact that applicant has elected species described by formula (I). Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Epaillard et al. (Makromolekulare Chemie, 189(5) (1988), pp. 1035-1042) and Kunz et al. (US 2003/0129322).

Conclusion

 Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP Application/Control Number: 10/511,578

Art Unit: 1792

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MAHMOUD DAHIMENE whose telephone number is (571)272-2410. The examiner can normally be reached on week days from 8:00 AM. to 5:00 PM

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on (571) 272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,578 Page 7

Art Unit: 1792

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. D./ Examiner, Art Unit 1792

/Nadine G Norton/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792